

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| PPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE     | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/773,856  | 02/01/2001      | Hans Heyde           | 011881-1890         | 3580            |
| 7590 11/12/2004                                       |                 | EXAMINER             |                     |                 |
|   | AYDEN, HORSTEME | LEO, LEONARD R       |                     |                 |
| 100 Galleria Parkway, Suite 1750<br>Atlanta, GA 30339 |                 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER    |
| •   |                 |                      | 3753                |                 |

DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   |   |  | ΛΛΛ  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)   | 7001   |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action   | 09/773,856  | HEYDE, HANS  | (  |  |  |  |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·   | Examiner  | Art Unit   |  |  |  |  |
|   | Leonard R. Leo  | 3753   |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app  | ears on the cover sheet with the  | correspondence add   | ress   |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 25 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: accondition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Applexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  | avoid abandonment of this appli<br>(1) a timely filed amendment wh  | cation. A proper re-<br>ich places the appli   | oly to a cation in   |  |  |  |
| PERIOD FOR R  | EPLY [check either a) or b)]  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date   | •   |  |  |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The drave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extermining the period of extermining the content of the shorteness of the checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three managements. | than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of<br>S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THa<br>late on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.<br>Insion and the corresponding amount of the<br>ed statutory period for reply originally set in | of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION. Solution of the appropriate existence of the final Office action; or | See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>arned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR).</li> </ul>  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered  | because:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (a) X they raise new issues that would require furt   | her consideration and/or search   | (see NOTE below);  |  |  |  |  |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>(c) they are not deemed to place the application<br/>issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>  | in better form for appeal by ma   | terially reducing or s   | simplifying the  |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance  | eling a corresponding number of   | finally rejected clair   | ns.  |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reje  | ection(s):  |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | d be allowable if submitted in a s  | separate, timely filed   | d amendment  |  |  |  |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request f application in condition for allowance because: _  |   | sidered but does NO  | OT place the   |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  | ecause it is not directed SOLELY  | to issues which we   | re newly   |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims versions.   |   |  | and an   |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows   | <b>3:</b>   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  |   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ ap   | proved or b) disapproved by   | the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem  | ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  | C   | Leonal of  | h  |  |  |  |
|   |   | Leonard R. Leo<br>Primary Examiner<br>Art Unit: 3753   |  |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The recitation of "distance elements being arranged to be distributed over the width of the free space" requires further consideration and search.